## 4<sup>th</sup> National Conference on Construction Adjudication

Session 3 – Latest Developments in Adjudication and their Implications

Topic:

Its About Time - Payment Claims & Responses



**Edwin Lee Allyed Gateway LLC** 

# Early Payment Claim A Trap for the Respondent?



- 5 July 2023 HHS submitted Payment Claim
- 27 July 2023 Hiap Seng issued Payment Response certifying \$16,861.60
- 1 August 2023 HHS issued Tax Invoice for \$16,861.60
- 7 August 2023 Hiap Seng issued Final Accounts for \$16,861.60
- HHS waited 30 days for payment of its invoice.



- No payment
- 8 Sept 2023 HHS commenced adjudication
- Won Adjudication in HHS's favour
- Hiap Seng challenges adjudication decision.



Hiap Seng argued its own payment response was invalid!



- Sub-contract did not prescribe a date for service of a payment claim.
- Regulations 5(1) & 5(3): where the contract does not contain any provision specifying when a payment claim must be served, then it must be served by the last day of the calendar month
- Section 10(3): A payment claim that is served before the prescribed date is deemed to have been served on that date



- Payment claim was therefore deemed served on the last day of the calendar month in which it was served, regardless of when it was actually served.
- Payment claim was served on 5 July 2023.
- Therefore deemed to have been served on 31 July 2023.
- But payment response was served on 27 July 2023.
- No payment response could have been validly served before payment claim was served.
- Hence payment response was invalid



#### **Risk:**

- Subcontractors can serve an early payment claim
- If payment response is issued before the actual deadline for payment claim, they can then argue there was no valid payment response
- And then apply for adjudication on the basis of there being no payment response
- Solution?



#### **THANK YOU**



**Senior Director** 

**Allyed Gateway LLC** 

hp +65 9667 6924

Email edwinlee@allyed.law

