

BCA Industry Briefing on ENHANCEMENTS TO BUILDABILITY FRAMEWORK



Some webinar administration for you to note...



MUTE

Webinar participants are on 'mute' setting by default



Q&A Click the 'Q&A' button on the toolbar and type in your questions

Some webinar administration for you to note...



EVALUATION FORM*

After exiting the webinar, you will be directed to a Evaluation Survey



PRESENTATION MATERIALS

The presentation materials will be made available at the end of the webinar

* No certificate will be issued

Programme Highlights

TIME PROGRAMME

4.05pm Introduction

Mrs Foo-Leoh Chay Hong, Director, Buildable Design Department, Construction Productivity and Quality Group, BCA

Presentation:

4.10pm Enhancements to Buildability Framework

Ms Wong Jia Min, Senior Manager, Buildable Design Department, Construction Productivity and Quality Group, BCA

5.00pm **Q&A Session**

5.30pm End of Industry Sharing

BCA-Industry Briefing Enhancements to Buildability Framework

1. Phased enhancements of Buildability framework to drive DfMA adoption

2. Buildability 2022

- a. New min. B-Scores for large projects with $GFA \ge 25,000m^2$
- b. New outcome-based options for large projects
- c. New mandatory requirements for residential non-landed (RNL) projects to adopt specific industry standard components
- d. Requirement for Professional Engineers (Mechanical & Electrical) to jointly endorse Buildability submission with Qualified Persons (QPs) for Architectural and Structural works

3. Collection of off-site construction productivity data

1. The COVID-19 experience has revealed vulnerabilities on manpower and supply chain, and reaffirmed need to transform the way we design and construct buildings

Current Landscape

Desired State

From this...



Highly dependent on large no. of workers



Moving work offsite will improve **safety**, **productivity** and **quality**





completion



site safety





deliveries





Lesser dust and noise

Fewer site workers

1. BCA has conducted extensive consultation with the industry including developers, consultants, builders and prefabricators. Inputs were considered in the Buildability framework review.

Approach

Phase 1: Buildability 2019 (effective from 15 Dec 19) Started with large residential non-landed (RNL) projects to adopt DfMA	Phase 2: Buildability 2020 (effective from 28 Dec 20) Revamped Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) to integrate DfMA into each discipline of work – Structural, Architectural and MEP	<u>Phase 3: Buildability 2022</u> (effective from 30 Apr 22) Require all other large projects to adopt DfMA
	Key Changes	
a. Raised min. B-Score for large RNL projects to adopt DfMA given the highest manpower utilization	 a. Min. B-Scores were calibrated to 2019 standards b. Extend open option to all large projects 	 Raise min. B-Scores for non-RNL large projects (commercial, industrial, institutional & others)
 Introduced outcome-based options as alternative to meeting higher standards (provide flexibility to industry) 	b. Extend open opnon to diritarge projects	 b. Introduce outcome-based options for the above projects (more flexibility to industry) c. Drive greater modularisation by requiring adoption of industry standard components/ sizes

Note: Large projects refer to those with $GFA \ge 25,000m^2$. Enhancements would only affect new projects with planning applications made on or after implementation.

2. Recent review of Buildability framework focused on making DfMA an integral part of the way we design and build and encourages adoption of prefabrication in each discipline of work

Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS)



Approach:

- a) Make DfMA an integral part of the framework i.e. DfMA included in each discipline of works
- b) A section on innovation to encourage innovative ideas to achieve productivity objective
- c) Different points for Structural, Architectural and MEP depending on development type

2. Revamped Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) enables designers to select the appropriate DfMA tech to meet the minimum B-Score



APCS – Advanced Precast Concrete System MET – Mass Engineered Timber PBU – Prefabricated Bathroom Unit

2. DfMA continuum comprises a wide spectrum of productive technologies covering all three disciplines of work – Structural, Architectural, MEP **Integrated Assemblies: Components: Incremental Improvement Game-Changing Improvement Prefab Components Advanced Prefab Systems Integrated Sub-assemblies Fully Integrated Assemblies Mass Engineered Timber** Structural Steel / Advanced **PPVC** Precast (MET) / Hybrid **Precast / Hybrid** Structu 35<u>'</u> **Manpower Savings** [Project Level] PBU / Prefab Kitchens Units (PKU)/ **PPVC On-site Dry Applied Finishes Prefinished Surfaces** Prefab Common Toilets (PCT) rchitectu Engineered timber floor Dryrun Common Toilet Kitchen PBU 45% 30% 60% Manpower Savings [Trade Level] MEP

Prefab Plant

Prefab Ceiling Module/

Prefab Plant

Flexible Water Pipe/

Sprinkler Dropper

Prefab MEP Deck

Prefab Module with Platform/ Catwalk

PPVC

2. Different point weightages for different development types seek to encourage more buildable designs in the particular discipline of work that requires more manpower

Buildability 2019 weightage (across all categories)

Structural	Architectural	DfMA
45 pts	45 pts	20 pts

Buildability 2020/2022 allocation of points

 \checkmark To account for actual manpower usage

Example 1: Residential (Non-Landed)

Structural	Architectural	MEP	
35 pts	45 pts	20 pts	
Example 2: HDB			
Structural	Architectural	MEP	
45 pts	40 pts	15 pts	

Points allocation in each discipline for different categories of development

Category	Maximum points (based on manpower usage)			
Culegory	Structural	Architectural	MEP	
Residential Non-landed	35	45	20	
HDB	45	40	15	
Industrial	50	25	25	
Commercial, School, Institutional & Others	35	30	35	

2a. New Min. B-Score for Buildability 2022

- a. In 2019, we have raised the min. B-Score to drive larger residential non-landed (RNL) projects to adopt DfMA.
- b. With revamped BDAS in 2020, min. B-Scores were <u>re-based</u> with changes in point allocation based on manpower usage.
- c. From 30 Apr 2022, we will be raising the min. B-Scores for large non-RNL projects to drive DfMA adoption.

Category	Mid-siz 5,000m² ≤ G	ed Projects FA < 25,000m²	Large Projects GFA ≥ 25,000m ²		
	2019	2020 & 2022* (re-based from 2019)	2019	2020 (re-based from 2019)	2022*
HDB	85	68	92 from 90 pts in 2017	80	80
Private RNL	85	68	92 from 90 pts in 2017	80	80
Commercial	87	60	90	63	70 ^{*NEW*}
Industrial	87	65	90	68	70 ^{*NEW*}
Institutional and others	79	60	82	63	*NEW* 66

*Applicable to projects applying for URA planning permission on or after 30 Apr 2022

2b. Designers have flexibility to select <u>one</u> of three ways to meet Buildability requirements

1. Code compliance option

Meeting minimum B-Score using BDAS

2. Outcome-based option for large projects $(GFA \ge 25,000m^2)$

a. Deemed acceptable solutions

(currently only for large **RNL** projects)

 High prefabrication level (Structural, Architectural and MEP)

E.g. 50% prefab + 70% system formwork + 50% MEP <u>or</u> 65% prefab + 70% system formwork

DfMA adoption

E.g. 60% PPVC or 50% PPVC (\leq 5-storey)

b. Open option

 Proposal demonstrating min. 20% productivity improvement BCA issued circular on 1 Mar to inform that Buildability 2022 will apply to projects applying for URA planning permission on and after 30 Apr 2022



Our Ref.: APPBCA-2022-01

Construction Productivity and Quality Group

1 March 2022

See Distribution

Dear Sir/Madam

A. ENHANCEMENTS TO CODE OF PRACTICE ON BUILDABILITY AND AMENDMENTS TO BUILDING CONTROL (BUILDABILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY) REGULATIONS 2011 TO ACCELERATE ADOPTION OF DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING AND ASSEMBLY (DfMA)

B. COLLECTION OF OFF-SITE CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTIVITY DATA

Objective

1 This circular informs the industry of the enhancements to be made to the Code of Practice ("COP") on Buildability and changes to the Building Control (Buildability and Productivity) Regulations 2011 (the "Buildability Regulations"), which will take effect on **30 April 2022**. The changes are:

- Higher minimum Buildable Design Scores (B-Scores) for large commercial, industrial and institutional projects with Gross Floor Area (GFA) ≥ 25,000m²;
- Enhanced outcome-based options for all large development types, in lieu of meeting the minimum B-Score;
- III. Mandatory adoption of specific productive technologies for residential non-landed (RNL) projects; and
- IV. Requirement for Professional Engineers (PE) for Mechanical and Electrical Works to jointly declare B-Score submissions with Qualified Persons (QP) for Architectural and Structural Works.

2b. Buildability 2022 – Requirements for large RNL projects (GFA \geq 25,000m²)

Min. B-Score & Outcome-based options

Durilalin a		Buildability 2020		Buildability 2022
Typology	Min. B- Score	Outcome-based options	Min. B- Score	Outcome-based options
HDB	80	Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 1Structural: 50% PrefabArchi: 50% PrefabMEP: 50% PrefabSystem Formwork: 70%Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 2Structural: 65% PrefabArchi: 65% Prefab	80	*Revised* Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 1 Structural: 65% Prefab Archi: 80% Prefab MEP: 50% Prefab System Formwork: 70% *Revised* Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 2 a. 60% PPVC + 70% System Formwo
Private RNL	80	System Formwork: 70% Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 3 a. 60% PPVC b. 50% PPVC (5-storey and below) Open Option: Option 4 Meeting min. 20% productivity improvement over 2010 levels	80	 a. 00% PPVC (≤ 70% System Formwork b. 50% PPVC (≤ 5-storey) + 70% System Formwork <u>Open Option: Option 3</u> *Revised* Meeting min. 25% productivity improvement over 2010 levels

2b. Buildability 2022 – Requirements for large non-RNL projects (GFA \geq 25,000m²)

Min. B-Score & Outcome-based options

Buildina	Buildability 2020		Buildability 2022	
Typology	Min. B-Score	Outcome-based options	Min. B-Score	Outcome-based options
Industrial	68		70 (+2)	Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 1 *NEW* Structural: 60% Prefab <u>or</u> 50% Steel/APCS/MET Archi: 80% Prefab <u>or</u> 70% Prefab* (for office only) MEP: 50% Prefab
Commercial	63	Open Option: Meeting min. 20% productivity improvement over 2010 levels	70 (+7)	System Formwork: 70% Deemed-acceptable Solution: Option 2 *NEW* 60% PPVC + 50% Prefab MEP + 70% System Formwork
Institutional & Others	63		66^ (+3)	Open Option: Option 3 *Revised* Meeting min. 25 % productivity improvement over 2010 levels

*Lower prefab architectural level as office buildings have less internal walls since the office space is tenanted out ^Lower points to cater for diverse range of institutional projects

2b. What do you need to prepare for Buildability submissions?

Building projects with $GFA \ge 5,000m^2$ required to submit B-Score when making structural and building plan submissions

Code Compliance option Meeting min. B-Score using BDAS

- 1. B-Score form with B-Score computation (BSO1 for ST and BP submissions and BSO3 for as-built TOP submissions)
- 2. BDIP including mark-ups on plans with computation of coverage of building systems/components, site photos (TOP stage)
- **3. Constructability form (CS01)** (by builder)
- 4. Constructability Implementation Plan (CIP) (by builder)

Outcome-based option

Deemed-acceptable solution

- B-Score form for declaration of option chosen (BS01 for BP submissions and BS03 for as-built TOP submissions)
- 2. Deemed-acceptable Proposal to illustrate the extent of use of DfMA/prefabrication systems/system formwork
- 3. Site photos (TOP stage)

Outcome-based option

Open option

- 1. B-Score form for declaration of option chosen (BSO1 for BP submissions and BSO3 for as-built TOP submissions)
- 2. Project Productivity Improvement Plan (PPIP) to explain the design concept including:
 - a. Proposed design technologies/systems
 - b. Level of use of prefabrication, buildable features, off-site finishes
 - c. Innovative features, robotics and automation
 - d. Proposed construction process, construction management
 - e. Demonstration e.g. through simulation of how project can achieve Productivity improvement of at least 25%
- 3. Site photos (TOP stage)

2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute prefab level (structural, architectural, MEP)?

<u>Prefabrication level</u> is measured based on superstructure works

Prefab Structural Systems	Prefab Architectural Systems	Prefabricated MEP Systems
(based on total Constructed Floor Area, CFA)	(based on total wall length)	(based on qualifying area [^])
 PPVC APCS Structural Steel MET Hybrid Steel/Precast Concrete/MET Prefabricated column/wall, prefabricated beam and prefabricated slab Prefabricated beam and prefabricated slab Prefabricated column/wall and prefabricated slab Prefabricated slab Prefabricated slab 	 PPVC PBU Prefabricated and prefinished wall with MEP services Prefabricated and prefinished wall / Off-form precast wall Drywall Curtain wall / Full height glass partition Prefabricated railing Precast wall Lightweight concrete panel 	 Prefabricated MEP vertical modules Prefabricated MEP horizontal modules Prefabricated MEP plant modules

[^]Qualifying area refers to the area suitable for adoption of prefab MEP system. More details in B-Score form.

Prefab MEP level (%)

Total Prefab MEP area (horizontal + vertical + plant)

Total qualifying area (horizontal + vertical + plant)



Qualifying Area* Common corridor areas (including lift lobbies)



Qualifying Area*

- Chilled water risers a.
- b. Plumbing & Sanitary risers
- Fire fighting services c.
- Electrical risers d.

Plant Modules

Qualifying Area*

Rooms/skids containing pumps serving:

- a. Potable water pumpsets e. Chilled water pumpsets
- NEWater pumpsets b.
- Sprinkler pumpsets c.
- Hosereel pumpsets d.

- - f. Condenser water pumpsets

*More details in B-Score form

2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute prefab level (structural, architectural, MEP)?

More information on Prefab MEP systems:







BCA-STAS INDUSTRY SHARING ON

PREFABRICATED MEP SYSTEM

BCA ACADEMY

Topics:

- 1. Key principles of Prefab MEP & strategies in developing Prefab MEP Ecosystem in Singapore (BCA)
- Measuring Prefab MEP coverage under Buildability framework (BCA) 2.
- 3. IDD as an enabler for MEP modularisation process (BCA)
- Adoption of Prefab MEP system: A Builder's Perspective (Dragages Singapore) 4.
- 5. Innovative way of construction: MEP Module System (YJME Engineering)
- Ensuring guality of Prefab MEP modules through Accreditation (Ohmz and 6. Associates)





Aligned with the Construction Industry Transformation Map's thrust of Design for

DETAILS

Building and Construction 🥠

VENUE: BCA Braddell Campus FEE (incl of GST): S\$720 (without Funding) S\$114.39" (with WTU funding support for eligible participants) # For more information on the funding requirement, please refer to https:// www1.bca.gov.sg/buildsg/buildsgtransformation-fund/workforce-trainingand-upgrading-scheme-wtu

SPEAKERS

MEP Industry Practitioners, STAS & BCA

TARGET AUDIENCE Developers, engineers, builders, prefab suppliers, and MEP

Scan QR code to register for next run in 24 & 25 Aug 2022:

To increase depth of knowledge in design, man learning curves, participants will gain an unders design consideration, coordination and fabric

system logistics involved and site-based requirement

SPECIALISTS TRADE

ALLIANCE OF SINGAPORE

· application of IDD in module production and

in Singapore. BCA and Specialist Trade Alliance launched the Manufacturer Accreditation Sche

there is an increased adoption in using modula to train relevant professionals on how prefabrica

designed, fabricated in factory and installed to and high workmanship standards to support in

highly mechanised, productive and efficient BE

- · practical application on quality assurance ar installation of MEP modules;
- · best practices and how to set processes to pro
- · processes and operation of MEP Manufactur

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

- Prefab MEP Manufacturer Accreditation Sche
- · Procurement process in prefab MEP modular
- Modular Design sharing by Project / Design (
- Implementation of IDD in prefab MEP module
- Production and Installation Process Digitalisation in prefab MEP Production Moni



Course code: 80067

Manufacturing and Assembly (DfMA), the prefabricated modular MEP system is one of the identified key DfMA enablers to improve overall construction productivity subcontractors 2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute DfMA coverage? PPVC/APCS/Structural Steel/MET/Hybrid

1. <u>PPVC</u> coverage is measured based on superstructure works



Coverage of PPVC

CFA of PPVC system (m²)

Total CFA of superstructure or component used for residential or accommodation purposes (m²) including:

- a. Lift lobbies
- b. Corridor

Excluding:

- a. E-deck / Void deck
- b. Swimming pool
- c. Roof
- d. Carpark
- e. Landscape area but include residential floor area at the same storey (if there are dwelling units)
- f. Hotel lobby

2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute DfMA coverage? PPVC/APCS/Structural Steel/MET/Hybrid

2. <u>APCS</u> coverage is measured based on superstructure works

Coverage of APCS at project level (%) CFA of Precast Slab (m²) with at least <u>4</u> out of 6 APCS features, each with $\ge 65\%$ coverage

Total CFA of superstructure works (m²)



S/N	Feature
1	Integrated precast components (comprising at least 2 structural/architectural elements) e.g. double bay façade wall, beam-façade wall, multi-tear column/wall, precast HS, precast refuse chute, PBU, prefinished façade walls, precast external wall w cast-in windows
2	Mechanical connection for precast column / precast wall (horizontal joints) e.g. column shoes, spiral connector, grouted sleeves
3	Mechanical connection for precast beam e.g. telescopic beam connector, grouted sleeves
4	Mechanical connection for precast wall (vertical joints) e.g. flexible loops
5	Mechanical connection for other precast components e.g. precast staircase flight + precast landing
6	Large panel slab / hollow core slab / double T slab \geq 2.4m width

2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute DfMA coverage? PPVC/APCS/Structural Steel/MET/Hybrid

3. <u>Steel/MET/Hybrid</u> coverage is measured based on superstructure works

Coverage of Steel/MET/ Hybrid at project level (%) CFA of Structural Steel (steel beam, steel column, steel decking) <u>or</u> CFA of MET (MET beam, MET column, MET slab) <u>or</u> CFA of Hybrid Structural Steel, Precast Concrete, MET (beam, column, slab) (m²)

Total CFA of superstructure works (m²)



2b. Outcome-based options: How to compute system formwork level?

<u>System formwork</u> coverage is measured based on superstructure works, each must be \geq 70% of the remaining CIS area

System	Min. Prefabrication Level	Min. System Formwork
		(70% of remaining <u>40%</u>)
Structural System		To adopt min. 28% horizontal system
(measured by	60%	<u>formwork</u> (for slab and beam)
constructed floor area)		out of total constructed floor area
		(70% of remaining <u>20%</u>)
Architectural		To adopt min. <u>14% vertical system</u>
System	80%	<u>formwork</u> (for wall and column)
(measured by wall length)		out of total formwork vertical contact area

2c. In addition, we have introduced new items to encourage greater design modularisation in consultation with the industry. This includes refreshing the list of standard components to ensure relevance while providing design flexibility.

Scope Modularisation items recognised under the Buildability Framework (enhancements shown in blue)

Mandatory (no points)

- a. Floor height (all projects, multiples of 150/175mm) min 80% coverage
- b. Prefab staircase (all projects, 250/275/300mm tread width) min 80% coverage
- c. Precast refuse chutes (RNL, 6 standard sizes) min 80% coverage
- d. Doors (RNL, 7 standard sizes) min 65% coverage
- e. PBU (RNL, 20 standard sizes) min. 65% PBUs; of which 60% in industry standard size/s

Industryf.Precast household shelters (RNL, 17 standard sizes) - min. 65% precast HS; of which 60% in industry standardLevelsize/s

*more standard sizes introduced for a start and to be reviewed subsequently

	<u>Non-mandatory (points given)</u>		RCA is also working with specifiers and
	a. Prefab pump skid sizes (all projects, 3 standard sizes)		BCA is also working with specifiers and
	b. PPVC modules for bedrooms (width) (RNL, 4 stand	dard sizes)	precasters to develop a catalogue of
	c. Windows (width) (RNL, 6 standard sizes)		standard precast components
	d. Precast beams (RNL, 6 standard sizes)		
	Non-mandatory (points given)	f. Dimensior	n of PPVC modules (multiples of 50mm)
	a. Floor layout (repetition of structural layout)	g. Columns c	nd beams (multiples of 50mm)
Project	b. Horizontal spacing (multiples of 300mm)	h. Doors (mu	Itiples of 50mm)
Level	c. Horizontal design repetition of unit layout	i. Windows	(multiples of 100mm)
	d. PBU (repetition)	j. Precast fo	ıçade/wall (multiples of 300mm)
	e. PPVC (repetition)	k. Precast se	ervice duct (multiples of 150mm)

2d. New requirement for PE (M&E) to jointly endorse Buildability submissions with QPs for architectural and structural works

Rationale

- Greater emphasis on DfMA which includes MEP discipline
- Foster greater collaboration across disciplines during upstream design
- MEP works contribute towards raising construction productivity

Which PE (M&E) to endorse?

 ✓ PEs for M&E works executing detailed design are required to jointly endorse with QP (Architectural & Structural works)

What to prepare?

- ✓ B-Score form
- BDIP including mark-ups on plans with computation of coverage of MEP modules/systems



	Maximum points			
Category	(based on manpower usage)			
	Structural	Architectural	MEP	
Residential	25	45	20	
Non-landed	30	45	20	
HDB	45	40	15	
Industrial	50	25	25	
Commercial,				
School,	25	20	35	
Institutional &	35	30		
Others				

3. With DfMA becoming mainstream way of construction, more on-site works will be shifted off-site. To effectively measure productivity, BCA requires builders to submit both on-site and off-site productivity data.

Builders for all building projects with $GFA \ge 5,000m^2$ are required to submit construction productivity data monthly through electronic Productivity Submission System (ePSS)

Productivity Data Submission Workflow



[^]DfMA facility includes prefabricators/precasters, PPVC/PBU fit-out suppliers, structural steel suppliers and specialist MEP subcontractors BAS refers to Biometric Authentication Systems installed on-site for recognition of personnel by biometric characteristics (e.g. fingerprint, facial feature, etc.)

BCA-Industry Briefing Enhancements to Buildability Framework 20 April 2022



Frequently asked questions

Q1. Why the new min. B-Score seems to be lower than before?

- The lower min. B-Score is not a decline in productivity standards
- We have revamped Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) in 2020 to give different point weightages for various disciplines of work for each development type
- Each technology is allocated points based on manpower savings (in lieu of using Labour Saving Index (LSI))
- Please ensure to use the correct B-Score form to assess the adequacy of your design

Q2. Outcome-based options: Can I opt for deemed-acceptable solutions for projects with GFA < 25,000m²?

No, outcome-based options are currently applicable to large projects with $GFA \ge 25,000m^2$ only.

Q3. Outcome-based options: Can I opt for deemed-acceptable solutions for mixed development projects?

Yes, mixed developments can choose to comply using deemed-acceptable solutions. Each building use must meet the required min. prefabrication or DfMA levels.

Note: For mixed developments where a particular extent of use is small, please consult BCA separately

<u>RNL: Option 1</u>	Commercial / Institutional / Industrial
Structural: 65% Prefab	Structural: 60% Prefab <u>or</u> 50% Steel/APCS/MET
Archi: 80% Prefab	Archi: 80% Prefab <u>or</u> 70% Prefab* (for office only)
MEP: 50% Prefab	MEP: 50% Prefab
System Formwork: 70%	System Formwork: 70%
<u>RNL: Option 2</u> a. 60% PPVC + 70% System Formwork b. 50% PPVC (5-storey and below) + 70% System Formwork	

Q4. Outcome-based options: System Formwork – What if you adopt prefab systems beyond requirement?

Constructed Floor Area (CFA) of prefab structural systems adopted <u>above</u> stipulated level can be considered towards fulfilling System Formwork (SF) requirement

Horizontal System Formwork	Consideration	System Formwork (SF) Requirement for remaining cast in-situ (CIS) area	
Measured by constructed floor area (CFA)	CFA of DfMA systems including PPVC, precast RC slab, steel decking, MET slab and/or other prefab structural systems	70% of the <u>remaining CFA</u> outside the stipulated % of DfMA/prefab system	
Example: RNL project chooses 60% PPVC + 70% System Formwork option			
Total CFA: 100,000m²	<u>CFA of PPVC system:</u>	70% x (100,000m ² – 65,000m ²) = 24,500m ²	
CFA of PPVC: 65,000m ² CFA of precast slab: 4,500m ²	65,000m ² (assuming that it equates to 60% PPVC)	As prefab slab has also been adopted, horizontal system formwork requirement: 24,500 – 4,500 = <u>20,000m²</u>	

Note: All figures used in examples are for illustration purposes only.

Vertical contact area of prefab wall systems adopted <u>above</u> stipulated level can be considered towards fulfilling System Formwork (SF) requirement

Vertical System Formwork	Consideration	System Formwork (SF) Requirement for remaining cast in-situ (CIS) area	
Measured by vertical contact area	Wall length of DfMA systems including PPVC, precast RC walls, MET, and/or other prefab wall systems	70% of the <u>remaining vertical contact area</u> (wall length x height) outside the stipulated % of DfMA/prefab wall system	
Example: RNL project chooses 60% PPVC + 70% System Formwork option			
Total wall length: 10,000m Wall length of PPVC: 7,000m	<u>Wall length of</u> <u>PPVC system:</u>	70% x (10,000m — 7,000m) = 2,100m (assuming vertical contact area is 12,000m²)	
Wall length of other prefab walls: 1,000m (assuming vertical contact area is 10,000m ²)	7,000m (assuming that it equates to 60% PPVC)	As other prefab walls have also been adopted, vertical system formwork requirement: 12,000m ² - 10,000m ² = <u>2,000m²</u>	

Note: All figures used in examples are for illustration purposes only.

BCA-Industry Briefing Enhancements to Buildability Framework 20 April 2022





BCA Industry Briefing on ENHANCEMENTS TO BUILDABILITY FRAMEWORK

20 April 2022

Thank You

We have come to the end of this session; you may leave the webinar platform. Once you have exited, you will be automatically redirected to the Course Evaluation Survey. The presentation materials will be made available at https://www.bcaa.edu.sg/bca-buildability-framework